The Predictability of North American Land-falling Cyclones



Brian Ancell, Texas Tech University Lynn McMurdie, University of Washington Rolf Langland, Naval Research Laboratory

9th Adjoint Workshop, Cefalu, Sicily, Italy October 10, 2011

Funded by the Office of Naval Research





- Atmospheric predictability has been shown to depend on different flow regimes on a variety of scales:
 - Forecast error sensitivity varies with ENSO cycle (Reynolds and Gelaro 2001)

- Atmospheric predictability has been shown to depend on different flow regimes on a variety of scales:
 - Forecast error sensitivity varies with ENSO cycle (Reynolds and Gelaro 2001)
 - ETKF targeting regions vary with synoptic case (Majumdar et al. 2002)

- Atmospheric predictability has been shown to depend on different flow regimes on a variety of scales:
 - Forecast error sensitivity varies with ENSO cycle (Reynolds and Gelaro 2001)
 - ETKF targeting regions vary with synoptic case (Majumdar et al. 2002)
 - SLP errors vary with large-scale, 500-hPa flow regime (McMurdie and Casola 2009)

This work aims to examine the predictability of a specific high-impact event - land-falling North American cyclones

This work aims to examine the predictability of a specific high-impact event - land-falling North American cyclones

- Wind

 Precipitation intensity and type (flooding, water resources, recreation, road weather...)

 What are the general predictability characteristics of land-falling North American cyclones?

 What are the general predictability characteristics of land-falling North American cyclones?

2) Are certain levels of cyclone predictability related to synoptic/mesoscale flow patterns or cyclone characteristics?

 What are the general predictability characteristics of land-falling North American cyclones?

- Are certain levels of cyclone predictability related to synoptic/mesoscale flow patterns or cyclone characteristics?
- 3) Why is the cyclone predictability for different flow patterns/cyclone characteristics the way it is?

The "Why" of Cyclone Predictability

- Predictability assessed with forecast uncertainty (ensemble forecast spread)

The "Why" of Cyclone Predictability

- Predictability assessed with forecast uncertainty (ensemble forecast spread)



Potential for perturbation growth (Intrinsic predictability) (Forecast sensitivity!)

Initial ensemble spread

The "Why" of Cyclone Predictability

- Predictability assessed with forecast uncertainty (ensemble forecast spread)

Ensemble Forecast Spread

Potential for perturbation growth (Intrinsic predictability) (Forecast sensitivity!)

Initial ensemble spread

Eventual links to data assimilation...

Dataset contains EnKF 48-hr forecasts of landfalling cyclones over 3 winters (2008/2009 to 2010/2011) – only 2009/2010 so far...

Methodology - EnKF



36-km Domain

- 80-member WRF-ARW EnKF
- 6-hr update cycle
- Extended forecasts to 48 hours when a cyclone makes landfall
- Extended forecast times chosen from deterministic GFS-WRF forecasts
- Assimilates cloud-track winds, ACARS, radiosonde, and surface data

Dataset contains EnKF 48-hr forecasts of landfalling cyclones over 3 winters (2008/2009 to 2010/2011) – only 2009/2010 so far...

Tools used

1) Ensemble sensitivity of the response function R
 Characterizes the intrinsic predictability of R

Dataset contains EnKF 48-hr forecasts of landfalling cyclones over 3 winters (2008/2009 to 2010/2011) – only 2009/2010 so far...

Tools used

1) Ensemble sensitivity of the response function R
 Characterizes the intrinsic predictability of R

2) Ensemble forecast spread of the response function R

Characterizes the real predictability of R

Ensemble sensitivity: $E = \frac{CV_{R,IC}}{V_{IC}}$ Covariance b/w response function and initial conditions Variance of initial conditions

<u>Response functions</u>: Average SLP, Average U wind, Average V wind, SLP gradient
<u>Sensitivity w.r.t</u>.: GPH and Temperature at 300, 500, 700, 850, 925-hPa, and SLP

2009/2010 Season



27 Cyclones (cyclones can be in coastal zone at multiple times)



Initialized 2009111900 - 00hr Forecast



Initialized 2009111900 - 06hr Forecast



Initialized 2009111900 - 12hr Forecast



Initialized 2009111900 - 18hr Forecast



Initialized 2009111900 - 24hr Forecast



Initialized 2010020806 - 00hr Forecast



Initialized 2010020806 - 06hr Forecast



Initialized 2010020806 - 12hr Forecast



Initialized 2010020806 - 18hr Forecast



Initialized 2010020806 - 24hr Forecast

Ensemble Sensitivity – Deepening



Ensemble Sensitivity – Decaying



24-hr Sensitivity vs. Deepening Rate



24-hr Spread vs. Deepening Rate



Spread/Sensitivity vs. Deepening Rate



Spread/Sensitivity vs. Storm Track



Sensitivity to GPH vs. Level



24-hr Spread vs. Sensitivity



24-hr Spread vs. Sensitivity



Sensitivity Distribution over Different Spread Values



Composite 500-hPa Flow

Composite 500 hghts for high spread high sens 2009-2010. Num of cases = 40 and storm initial locations : omposite 500 hghts for high spread low sens 2009-2010. Num of cases = 15 and storm initial locations



High spread, high sensitivity

High spread, low sensitivity

Red stars = cyclone initial position

Composite SLP

Composite SLP for high spread high sens 2009–2010. Num of cases = 40 and storm final locations Composite SLP for high spread low sens 2009–2010. Num of cases = 15 and storm final locations



High spread, high sensitivity

High spread, low sensitivity

Green stars = cyclone final position

We aim to assess the "what", the "how", and the "why" of North American land-falling cyclone predictability over 3 winters

<u>What</u>: General characteristics of forecast uncertainty
 <u>How</u>: Link between uncertainty and flow regime
 <u>Why</u>: Intrinsic unpredictability vs. initial condition
 uncertainty

- We aim to assess the "what", the "how", and the "why" of North American land-falling cyclone predictability over 3 winters
 - <u>What</u>: General characteristics of forecast uncertainty
 <u>How</u>: Link between uncertainty and flow regime
 <u>Why</u>: Intrinsic unpredictability vs. initial condition
 uncertainty
- The tools we are using to do this are:
 - Ensemble sensitivity
 - Adjoint sensitivity (upcoming)
 - Ensemble forecast spread

- Early results using an SLP 24-hr response function over a single winter suggest:
 - Least predictable \rightarrow Deepening cyclones from W/SW

- Early results using an SLP 24-hr response function over a single winter suggest:
 - Least predictable \rightarrow Deepening cyclones from W/SW
 - Low predictability, small sensitivity → Large, deep Gulf of Alaska occluded systems

- Early results using an SLP 24-hr response function over a single winter suggest:
 - Least predictable \rightarrow Deepening cyclones from W/SW
 - Low predictability, small sensitivity → Large, deep Gulf of Alaska occluded systems
 - Low predictability, large sensitivity → Occluded Gulf of Alaska system present, but secondary development apparent with stronger upstream jet (frontal waves?)

- Early results using an SLP 24-hr response function over a single winter suggest:
 - Least predictable \rightarrow Deepening cyclones from W/SW
 - Low predictability, small sensitivity → Large, deep Gulf of Alaska occluded systems
 - Low predictability, large sensitivity → Occluded Gulf of Alaska system present, but secondary development apparent with stronger upstream jet (frontal waves?)
 Sensitivity to GPH maximizes in lower troposphere just like adjoint sensitivity

Future Work

- Add other 2 winters to dataset, finish analysis
- Expand results to other response functions, forecast times
- Include adjoint sensitivity in analysis
- Include forecast error in analysis