Numerical solution for a time-parallelized formulation of 4DVAR

M. Fisher¹, S. Gratton^{2,3}, <u>S. Gürol</u>³

¹ECMWF, Reading, UK ²ENSEEIHT, Toulouse, France ³CERFACS, Toulouse, France

Workshop on Meteorological Sensitivity Analysis and Data Assimilation

Roanoke, West Virginia

2 June 2015

<u>Outline</u>

- Saddle point approach of 4D-Var
- Preconditioning of saddle point formulation
- Numerical results
- Conclusions

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Why saddle-point formulation?

- 4D-Var is a sequential algorithm.
 - \rightarrow Tangent Linear and Adjoint integrations run one after the other.
 - \rightarrow Model timesteps follow each other.
- Parallelization of 4D-Var in the spatial domain has been performed by a spatial decomposition, and distribution over processors of the model grid.

 \rightarrow The number of grid points (associated with each processor) are independent of the resolution of the model.

- BUT, increasing the resolution of the model, increases the work per processor since higher resolutions require shorter timesteps.
- In order to keep the work per processor constant, parallelization in the time dimension is required.

M. Fisher shows that saddle-point formulation allows parallelization in the time dimension.

S. Gürol (CERFACS)

(ロ) (回) (三) (三)

Weak-constraint 4D-Var

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^{n}}\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{x}_{0}-\mathbf{x}_{b}\|_{\mathbf{B}^{-1}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{N}\left\|\mathcal{H}_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j})-\mathbf{y}_{j}\right\|_{\mathbf{R}_{j}^{-1}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\|\underbrace{\mathbf{x}_{j}-\mathcal{M}_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j-1})}_{q_{j}}\right\|_{\mathbf{Q}_{j}^{-1}}^{2}$$

• $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the control variable where $x_j = x(t_j)$ defined at the start of each

of a set of sub-windows that span the analysis window.

- x_b is the background given at the initial time (t_0) .
- $y_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m_j}$ is the observation vector over a given time interval
- \mathcal{H}_i maps the state vector x_i from model space to observation space
- \mathcal{M}_i represents an integration of the numerical model from time t_{i-1} to t_i ۲
- B, R_i and Q_i are the covariance matrices of background, observation and model error.

ヘロア 人間ア 人間ア 人間アー

Formulation

• Let us consider the linearized subproblem of the weak-constraint 4D-Var as a constrained problem and write its Lagrangian function. Then the stationary point of \mathcal{L} satisfies the system of equations that can be written in a matrix form as:

$$egin{pmatrix} \mathsf{D} & \mathsf{0} & \mathsf{L} \ \mathsf{0} & \mathsf{R} & \mathsf{H} \ \mathsf{L}^{ ext{T}} & \mathsf{H}^{ ext{T}} & \mathsf{0} \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} \lambda \ \mu \ \delta \mathsf{x} \end{pmatrix} = egin{pmatrix} \mathsf{b} \ \mathsf{d} \ \mathsf{d} \ \mathsf{d} \end{pmatrix}$$

• This system is called the saddle-point formulation of 4D-Var.

•
$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} I & & \\ -M_1 & I & & \\ & -M_2 & I & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -M_N & I \end{pmatrix}$$
 is an n-by-n matrix.
•
$$\mathbf{H} = diag(\mathbf{H}_0, \mathbf{H}_1, \dots, \mathbf{H}_N) \text{ is an n-by-m matrix.}$$

•
$$\mathbf{D} = diag(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{Q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Q}_N) \text{ is an n-by-m matrix.}$$

•
$$\mathbf{R} = diag(\mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{R}_1, \dots, \mathbf{R}_N) \text{ is an m-by-m matrix.}$$

Parallelization in the time dimension

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{H} \\ \mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{A}} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu} \\ \delta \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{d} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

• We can apply the matrix A without requiring a sequential model integration (i.e. we can parallelise over sub-windows).

$$\mathbf{L}\delta\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} I & & & \\ -M_1 & I & & \\ & -M_2 & I & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & -M_N & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta x_0 \\ \delta x_1 \\ \delta x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \delta x_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta x_0 \\ \delta x_1 - M_1 \delta x_0 \\ \delta x_2 - M_2 \delta x_1 \\ \vdots \\ \delta x_N - M_N \delta x_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

- \rightarrow Matrix-vector products with L can be parallelized in the time dimension
- Note that the matrix contains no inverse matrices.

Properties of the saddle point system

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{D} & \mathsf{0} & \mathsf{L} \\ \mathsf{0} & \mathsf{R} & \mathsf{H} \\ \mathsf{L}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathsf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathsf{0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{A} & \mathsf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathsf{B} & \mathsf{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

- A is a (2n + m)-by-(2n + m) indefinite symmetric matrix. A has negative and positive eigenvalues.
- The solution of this problem is a saddle point.
- A is symmetric positive definite, i.e. $x^T A x > 0$
- If the schur complement $S = -BA^{-1}B^{T}$ is negative definite, then A is invertible and saddle point system has a unique solution.

Properties of the saddle point system



- 4D-Var solves the primal problem: minimise along AXB.
- Dual algorithms (PSAS, RPCG) solves the Lagrangian dual problem: maximise along CXD.
- The saddle point formulation finds the saddle point of the Lagrangian problem
- ref: Mike's presentation

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Numerical solution of the saddle point system

• MINRES or GMRES Krylov subspace methods can be used to solve iteratively the symmetric indefinite saddle point system.

Numerical solution of the saddle point system

- MINRES or GMRES Krylov subspace methods can be used to solve iteratively the symmetric indefinite saddle point system.
- When using iterative methods, it is crucial to find an efficient preconditioner which attempts to improve the spectral properties of the system.

Efficient preconditioner \mathcal{P}

- is an approximation to \mathcal{A}
- the cost of constructing and applying the preconditioner should be less than the gain in computational cost
- exploits the block structure of the problem for saddle point systems

Numerical solution of the saddle point system

- MINRES or GMRES Krylov subspace methods can be used to solve iteratively the symmetric indefinite saddle point system.
- When using iterative methods, it is crucial to find an efficient preconditioner which attempts to improve the spectral properties of the system.

Efficient preconditioner \mathcal{P}

- is an approximation to \mathcal{A}
- the cost of constructing and applying the preconditioner should be less than the gain in computational cost
- exploits the block structure of the problem for saddle point systems
- We focus on GMRES since it allows us to use more general preconditioners.

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

How to precondition?

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{D} & \mathsf{0} & \mathsf{L} \\ \mathsf{0} & \mathsf{R} & \mathsf{H} \\ \mathsf{L}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathsf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathsf{0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{A} & \mathsf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathsf{B} & \mathsf{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Preconditioning saddle point systems is the subject of much current research!

 \Rightarrow Nice review is given by Benzi, Golub and Liesen (2005).

• Most preconditioners in the literature assume that **D** and **R** are expensive, and **L** and **H** are cheap.

How to precondition?

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{D} & \mathsf{0} & \mathsf{L} \\ \mathsf{0} & \mathsf{R} & \mathsf{H} \\ \mathsf{L}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathsf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathsf{0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{A} & \mathsf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathsf{B} & \mathsf{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Preconditioning saddle point systems is the subject of much current research!

 \Rightarrow Nice review is given by Benzi, Golub and Liesen (2005).

- Most preconditioners in the literature assume that **D** and **R** are expensive, and **L** and **H** are cheap.
- The opposite is true in our case! B is the most computationally expensive block and calculations involving A are relatively cheap.

How to precondition?

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{H} \\ \mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

• The inexact constraint preconditioner proposed by (Bergamaschi et. al. 2005) is promising for our application. The preconditioner can be chosen as:

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \textbf{A} & \widetilde{\textbf{B}}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \widetilde{\textbf{B}} & \textbf{0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \textbf{D} & \textbf{0} & \widetilde{\textbf{L}} \\ \textbf{0} & \textbf{R} & \textbf{0} \\ \widetilde{\textbf{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} & \textbf{0} & \textbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \textbf{0} & \textbf{0} & \widetilde{\textbf{L}}^{-\mathrm{T}} \\ \textbf{0} & \textbf{R}^{-1} & \textbf{0} \\ \widetilde{\textbf{L}}^{-1} & \textbf{0} & -\widetilde{\textbf{L}}^{-1}\textbf{D}\widetilde{\textbf{L}}^{-\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

- L
 is an approximation to the matrix L
- ▶ $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}} = [\widetilde{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} \quad \mathbf{0}]$ is a full row rank approximation of the matrix $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (m+n)}$

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Second-level preconditioner

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{H} \\ \mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu} \\ \boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{u}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{d} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{f}_{k}}$$

When solving a sequence of saddle point systems, can we further improve the preconditioning for the outer loops k > 1?

Can we find low-rank updates for the inexact constraint preconditioner that approximates A^{-1} or its effect on a vector?

	CEDEN CO
S Gurol I	

• For k = 1, we have the inexact constraint preconditioner:

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}_0^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{B}_0 & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{P}_0^{-1} \mathcal{A}_1 \, \mathbf{u} = \mathcal{P}_0^{-1} \mathbf{f}_1$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

• For k = 1, we have the inexact constraint preconditioner:

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}_0^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{B}_0 & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{P}_0^{-1} \mathcal{A}_1 \, \mathbf{u} = \mathcal{P}_0^{-1} \mathbf{f}_1$$

• For k > 1, we want to find a low-rank update $\Delta \mathbf{B}_k = \mathbf{B}_{k+1} - \mathbf{B}_k$ and use the updated preconditioner:

$$\mathcal{P}_{k} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{B}_{k} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{k+1} \mathbf{u} = \mathcal{P}_{k}^{-1} \mathbf{f}_{k+1}$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

• For k = 1, we have the inexact constraint preconditioner:

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}_0^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{B}_0 & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{P}_0^{-1} \mathcal{A}_1 \, \mathbf{u} = \mathcal{P}_0^{-1} \mathbf{f}_1$$

• For k > 1, we want to find a low-rank update $\Delta \mathbf{B}_k = \mathbf{B}_{k+1} - \mathbf{B}_k$ and use the updated preconditioner:

$$\mathcal{P}_{k} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{B}_{k} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{k+1} \mathbf{u} = \mathcal{P}_{k}^{-1} \mathbf{f}_{k+1}$$

How to obtain these updates?

 \rightarrow GMRES performs matrix-vector products with ${\cal A}$:

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{j} \\ \delta \mathbf{x}_{j} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{u}_{j}^{(k)}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}_{j} \\ \mathbf{c}_{j} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{f}_{j}^{(k)}}$$

 \rightarrow We can use the pairs $(\mathbf{u}_{j}^{(k)},\mathbf{f}_{j}^{(k)})$ to find an update $\Delta \mathbf{B}_{k}$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ \delta \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{B}_{k} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ \delta \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ \delta \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\implies \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}}\delta \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{B}_{k}\mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}}\delta \mathbf{x} \\ \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k}\mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\implies \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}}\delta \mathbf{x} \\ \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k}\mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}}\delta \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{B}_{k}\mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix}$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ \delta \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{B}_{k} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ \delta \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ \delta \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\implies \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \delta \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{B}_{k} \mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \delta \mathbf{x} \\ \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k} \mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\implies \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \delta \mathbf{x} \\ \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k} \mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \delta \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{B}_{k} \mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Let's define the vectors \mathbf{r}_b and \mathbf{r}_c as

$$\mathbf{r}_b = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{B}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \delta \mathbf{x}$$
$$\mathbf{r}_c = \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{v}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ \delta \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{B}_{k} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ \delta \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ \delta \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\implies \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \delta \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{B}_{k} \mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \delta \mathbf{x} \\ \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k} \mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\implies \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \delta \mathbf{x} \\ \Delta \mathbf{B}_{k} \mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \delta \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{B}_{k} \mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Let's define the vectors **r**_b and **r**_c as

$$\mathbf{r}_{b} = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{B}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}}\delta \mathbf{x}$$
$$\mathbf{r}_{c} = \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{B}_{k}\mathbf{v}$$

• Then we have

 $\Delta \mathbf{B}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \delta \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{r}_b$ $\Delta \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r}_c$

 \rightarrow We want to find an update $\Delta \mathbf{B}_k$ satisfying these equations.

• A rank-1 solution (an update to **B**_k) for the equations:

 $\Delta \mathbf{B}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \delta \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{r}_b$ $\Delta \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r}_c$

can be given as:

$$\Delta \mathbf{B}_{k} = \frac{\mathbf{r}_{c}\mathbf{r}_{b}^{T}}{\mathbf{v}\mathbf{r}_{c}^{T}\delta \mathbf{x}} = \frac{(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{B}_{k}\mathbf{v})(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{B}_{k}^{T}\delta \mathbf{x})^{T}}{(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{B}_{k}\mathbf{v})^{T}\delta \mathbf{x}}$$

• A rank-1 solution (an update to **B**_k) for the equations:

 $\Delta \mathbf{B}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \delta \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{r}_b$ $\Delta \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r}_c$

can be given as:

$$\Delta \mathbf{B}_k = \frac{\mathbf{r}_c \mathbf{r}_b^T}{\mathbf{v} \mathbf{r}_c^T \delta \mathbf{x}} = \frac{(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{v}) (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{B}_k^T \delta \mathbf{x})^T}{(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{v})^T \delta \mathbf{x}}$$

• This formula can then be used to update:

$$\mathbf{B}_{k+1} = \mathbf{B}_k + \Delta \mathbf{B}_k$$

• A rank-1 solution (an update to **B**_k) for the equations:

 $\Delta \mathbf{B}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \delta \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{r}_b$ $\Delta \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r}_c$

can be given as:

$$\Delta \mathbf{B}_k = \frac{\mathbf{r}_c \mathbf{r}_b^T}{\mathbf{v} \mathbf{r}_c^T \delta \mathbf{x}} = \frac{(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{v}) (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{B}_k^T \delta \mathbf{x})^T}{(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{v})^T \delta \mathbf{x}}$$

• This formula can then be used to update:

$$\mathbf{B}_{k+1} = \mathbf{B}_k + \Delta \mathbf{B}_k$$

• How we will use this update in a GMRES iteration?

$$\mathcal{P}_{k} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}_{k+1}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{B}_{k+1} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{P}_{k}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{k+1} \, \mathbf{u} = \mathcal{P}_{k}^{-1} \mathbf{f}_{k+1}$$

• \mathcal{P}_k^{-1} can be obtained by using Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula.

- We have shown that it is possible to find a low-cost low-rank update for the inexact constraint preconditioner.
- This update amounts to the two-sided-rank-one (TR1) update proposed by Griewank and Walther (2002). They used to update Jacobian matrix in a constrained optimisation problem.

- We have shown that it is possible to find a low-cost low-rank update for the inexact constraint preconditioner.
- This update amounts to the two-sided-rank-one (TR1) update proposed by Griewank and Walther (2002). They used to update Jacobian matrix in a constrained optimisation problem.

TR1 update:

• It generalizes the classical symmetric rank-one (SR1) update.

- We have shown that it is possible to find a low-cost low-rank update for the inexact constraint preconditioner.
- This update amounts to the two-sided-rank-one (TR1) update proposed by Griewank and Walther (2002). They used to update Jacobian matrix in a constrained optimisation problem.

TR1 update:

- It generalizes the classical symmetric rank-one (SR1) update.
- It maintains the validity of all previous secant conditions.

- We have shown that it is possible to find a low-cost low-rank update for the inexact constraint preconditioner.
- This update amounts to the two-sided-rank-one (TR1) update proposed by Griewank and Walther (2002). They used to update Jacobian matrix in a constrained optimisation problem.

TR1 update:

- It generalizes the classical symmetric rank-one (SR1) update.
- It maintains the validity of all previous secant conditions.
- It is invariant with respect to linear transformations

- We have shown that it is possible to find a low-cost low-rank update for the inexact constraint preconditioner.
- This update amounts to the two-sided-rank-one (TR1) update proposed by Griewank and Walther (2002). They used to update Jacobian matrix in a constrained optimisation problem.

TR1 update:

- It generalizes the classical symmetric rank-one (SR1) update.
- It maintains the validity of all previous secant conditions.
- It is invariant with respect to linear transformations
- It has no least change characterization in terms of any particular matrix norm.

• We are interested with the solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\Delta B_k} \| \mathbf{W}_1^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{W}_2^{-1} \|_F \\ \text{s.t. } \Delta \mathbf{B}_k^T \delta \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{r}_b, \\ \Delta \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r}_c, \end{split}$$

• We are interested with the solution of the following problem:

```
\begin{split} \min_{\Delta B_k} \| \mathbf{W}_1^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{W}_2^{-1} \|_F \\ \text{s.t. } \Delta \mathbf{B}_k^T \delta \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{r}_b, \\ \Delta \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r}_c, \end{split}
```

where \mathbf{W}_1 is any *m*-by-*m* nonsingular matrix such that $\mathbf{W}_1\mathbf{W}_1^T \delta \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c}$, and \mathbf{W}_2 is any *n*-by-*n* nonsingular matrix such that $\mathbf{W}_2^T\mathbf{W}_2\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{s}$.

• We are interested with the solution of the following problem:

```
\begin{split} \min_{\Delta B_k} \| \mathbf{W}_1^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{W}_2^{-1} \|_F \\ \text{s.t. } \Delta \mathbf{B}_k^T \delta \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{r}_b, \\ \Delta \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r}_c, \end{split}
```

where \mathbf{W}_1 is any *m*-by-*m* nonsingular matrix such that $\mathbf{W}_1\mathbf{W}_1^T \delta \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c}$, and \mathbf{W}_2 is any *n*-by-*n* nonsingular matrix such that $\mathbf{W}_2^T\mathbf{W}_2\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{s}$.

• The solution is given by

$$\Delta \mathbf{B}_{k} = \frac{\mathbf{c} \mathbf{r}_{b}^{T}}{\delta \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{c}} + \frac{r_{c} \mathbf{s}^{T}}{\mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{s}} - \frac{\mathbf{c} \, \delta \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{c} \, \mathbf{s}^{T}}{\delta \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{c} \, \mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{s}}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\Delta \mathbf{B}_{k} = \frac{\mathbf{c} \left(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{B}_{k}^{T} \delta \mathbf{x}\right)^{T}}{\delta \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{c}} + \frac{\left(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{B}_{k} \mathbf{v}\right) \mathbf{s}^{T}}{\mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{s}} - \frac{\mathbf{c} \, \delta \mathbf{x}^{T} (\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{B}_{k} \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{s}^{T}}{\delta \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{c} \, \mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{s}}$$

- It is a new update that can be used for Jacobian updates
- It has least change characterization in terms of weighted Frobenius norm
- It generalizes the classical Davidon-Fletcher-Powell (DFP) update
- It maintains the validity of all previous secant conditions (when the block formula is used)
- It is invariant with respect to linear transformations

Numerical Results

Implementation platform

- We used the Object Oriented Prediction System (OOPS) developed by ECMWF
- OOPS consists of simplified models of a real-system

The model

• It is a two-layer quasi-geostraphic model with 1600 grid-points

Implementation details

- There are 100 observations of stream function every 3 hours, 100 wind observations plus 100 wind-speed observations every 6 hours
- The error covariance matrices are assumed to be horizontally isotropic and homogeneous, with Gaussian spatial structure
- The analysis window is 24 hours, and is divided into 8 subwindows
- 3 outer loops with 10 inner loops each are performed

Methods

- Standard weak-constrained 4D-Var formulation
 - \rightarrow Solution method is preconditioned conjugate-gradients

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Methods

- Standard weak-constrained 4D-Var formulation
 - \rightarrow Solution method is preconditioned conjugate-gradients
- Saddle point formulation with an updated inexact constraint preconditioner
 - \rightarrow Solution method is GMRES
 - \rightarrow The initial preconditioner is chosen as

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \textbf{D} & \textbf{0} & \widetilde{\textbf{L}} \\ \textbf{0} & \textbf{R} & \textbf{0} \\ \widetilde{\textbf{L}}^{\rm T} & \textbf{0} & \textbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{ with } \quad \widetilde{\textbf{L}} = \begin{pmatrix} \textbf{I} & & & \\ -\textbf{I} & \textbf{I} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -\textbf{I} & \textbf{I} \end{pmatrix}.$$

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Methods

- Standard weak-constrained 4D-Var formulation
 - \rightarrow Solution method is preconditioned conjugate-gradients
- $\textcircled{\sc 0}$ Saddle point formulation with an updated inexact constraint preconditioner
 - \rightarrow Solution method is GMRES
 - \rightarrow The initial preconditioner is chosen as

$$\mathcal{P}_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} & \widetilde{\mathbf{L}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{0} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \widetilde{\mathbf{L}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & & & \\ -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} .$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{0}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \widetilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{R}^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1} & \mathbf{0} & -\widetilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}\mathbf{D}\widetilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\mathbf{L}}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & & & \\ \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I} & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{I} & \cdots & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}$$

Second-level preconditioners:

- **(**) T_k : The preconditioner obtained by using the TR1 update
- **2** \mathcal{F}_k : The preconditioner obtained by using the least-Frobenius update

The performance of the second level preconditioners

ightarrow Last 8 pairs were used to construct the preconditioner



Figure: Nonlinear cost function values along iterations

• Second-level preconditioners obtained by using updates accelerate the convergence

• The performance of the least-Frobenius and TR1 update are very similar.

Overall performance compared with the standard 4DVar formulation



Figure: Nonlinear cost function values along iterations

Figure: Nonlinear cost function values along sequential subwindow integrations

- At each iteration the standard 4DVar formulation requires one application of L⁻¹, followed by one application of L^{-T} (16 sequential subwindow integrations)
- At each iteration of saddle point formulation require one subwindow integration (provided that L⁻¹ and L^{-T} are applied simultaneously)

Conclusions

- The saddle point formulation of weak-constraint 4D-Var allows parallelisation in the time dimension.
- Finding an effective preconditioner is a key issue in solving the saddle point systems.
- The inexact constraint preconditioner can be used to precondition the saddle point formulation of 4D-Var.
- When solving a sequence of saddle point systems, a low-rank low-cost update formulas can be found to further improve preconditioning.
- The preconditioned GMRES algorithm for saddle point formulation is competitive with the existing algorithms and has the potential to allow 4D-Var to remain computationally viable on next-generation computer architectures.

Thank you for your attention !

▲□→ ▲圖→ ▲温→ ▲温→

• As a result, an inexact constraint preconditioner \mathcal{P} can be updated from

$$\mathcal{P}_{j+1} = \mathcal{P}_j + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \Delta \mathbf{B}^T \\ \Delta \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{P}_j + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \alpha \mathbf{w} \mathbf{v}^T \\ \alpha \mathbf{v} \mathbf{w}^T & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{r}_b$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r}_c$ and $\alpha = 1/\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{T}} \delta \mathbf{x}$.

• We can rewrite this formula as

$$\mathcal{P}_{j+1} = \mathcal{P}_j + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{w} \\ \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{F}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \mathbf{w}^T & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \alpha \mathbf{v}^T \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{G}}$$

where **F** is an (2n + m)-by-2 matrix and **G** is an 2-by-(2n + m) matrix.

 Using the Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula on this equation gives the inverse update as

$$\mathcal{P}_{j+1}^{-1} = \mathcal{P}_j^{-1} - \mathcal{P}_j^{-1} \mathbf{F} (\mathbf{I}_2 + \mathbf{G} \mathcal{P}_j^{-1} \mathbf{F})^{-1} \mathbf{G} \mathcal{P}_j^{-1}$$

• Remember that we want to find an update such that

$$\Delta \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r}_{b} \tag{1}$$
$$\Delta \mathbf{B} \, \delta \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{r}_{c} \tag{2}$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

• Remember that we want to find an update such that

$$\Delta \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r}_{b} \tag{1}$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{B} \,\delta \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{r}_c \tag{2}$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

• Any solution ΔB satisfying Equation (1) can be written as [Lemma 2.1](Sun 1999)

$$\Delta \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{r}_{b} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{u}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\dagger}),$$

where \dagger denotes the pseudo-inverse and **S** is an $(n + m) \times n$ matrix. Inserting this relation into (2) yields

$$\mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}\dagger}\mathbf{r}_{b}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{u}_{1} + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}\dagger}\mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}})\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{u}_{1} = \mathbf{r}_{c}.$$

• Remember that we want to find an update such that

$$\Delta \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r}_{b} \tag{1}$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{B} \,\delta \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{r}_c \tag{2}$$

• Any solution ΔB satisfying Equation (1) can be written as [Lemma 2.1](Sun 1999)

$$\Delta \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{r}_{b} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{u}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\dagger}),$$

where \dagger denotes the pseudo-inverse and **S** is an $(n + m) \times n$ matrix. Inserting this relation into (2) yields

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{2}{}^{\mathrm{T}\dagger}\boldsymbol{r}_{b}{}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{u}_{1}+(\boldsymbol{I}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}{}^{\mathrm{T}\dagger}\boldsymbol{u}_{2}{}^{\mathrm{T}})\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{u}_{1}=\boldsymbol{r}_{c}.$$

• If this equation admits one solution, its least Frobenius norm solution,

$$\min_{\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}} \| (\mathbf{r}_{c} - \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}\dagger} \mathbf{r}_{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{1}) - (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}\dagger} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}) \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_{1} \|_{F_{2}}$$

can be written as [Lemma 2.3](Sun 1999)

$$(\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}})^* = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{u}_2^{\mathrm{T}\dagger} \mathbf{u}_2^{\mathrm{T}})^{\dagger} (\mathbf{r}_c - \mathbf{u}_2^{\mathrm{T}\dagger} \mathbf{r}_b^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}_1) \mathbf{u}_1^{\dagger}.$$

• Substituting the solution for **S** into ΔB yields that

$$\Delta \mathbf{B}^* = \mathbf{u}_2^{\mathrm{T}\dagger} \mathbf{r}_b^{\mathrm{T}} + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{u}_2^{\mathrm{T}\dagger} \mathbf{u}_2^{\mathrm{T}}) \mathbf{r}_c \mathbf{u}_1^{\dagger}$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

 $\bullet\,$ Substituting the solution for ${\bm S}$ into $\Delta {\bm B}$ yields that

$$\Delta \mathbf{B}^* = \mathbf{u}_2^{\mathrm{T}\dagger} \mathbf{r}_b^{\mathrm{T}} + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{u}_2^{\mathrm{T}\dagger} \mathbf{u}_2^{\mathrm{T}}) \mathbf{r}_c \mathbf{u}_1^{\dagger}$$

• This formula can be rewritten as

$$\Delta \mathbf{B}^* = \begin{bmatrix} \delta \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}\dagger} & \mathbf{r}_c & -\delta \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}\dagger} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_b^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{v}^{\dagger} \\ \delta \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{r}_c \mathbf{v}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{T}},$$

where **V** is an *m*-by-3 matrix and **W** is an 2n-by-3 matrix.

• Substituting the solution for ${\boldsymbol S}$ into $\Delta {\boldsymbol B}$ yields that

$$\Delta \mathbf{B}^{*} = \mathbf{u}_{2}{}^{\mathrm{T}\dagger}\mathbf{r}_{b}^{\mathrm{T}} + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{u}_{2}{}^{\mathrm{T}\dagger}\mathbf{u}_{2}{}^{\mathrm{T}})\mathbf{r}_{c}\mathbf{u}_{1}{}^{\dagger}$$

• This formula can be rewritten as

$$\Delta \mathbf{B}^* = \begin{bmatrix} \delta \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}\dagger} & \mathbf{r}_c & -\delta \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}\dagger} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_b^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{v}^{\dagger} \\ \delta \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{r}_c \mathbf{v}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{T}},$$

where **V** is an *m*-by-3 matrix and **W** is an 2n-by-3 matrix.

• The preconditioner can be updated by using the following formula

$$\mathcal{P}_{1} = \mathcal{P}_{0} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{W}\mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \mathbf{V}\mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{P}_{0} + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{W} \\ \mathbf{V} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{F}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{G}}$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

• The inverse formula is then given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{\textit{F}}^{-1} = \mathcal{P}_{0}^{-1} - \mathcal{P}_{0}^{-1}\textbf{F}(\textbf{I}_{4} + \textbf{G}\mathcal{P}_{0}^{-1}\textbf{F})^{-1}\textbf{G}\mathcal{P}_{0}^{-1}$$

where **F** is an (2n + m)-by-4 matrix and **G** is an 4-by-(2n + m) matrix.

• The inverse formula is then given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{F}^{-1} = \mathcal{P}_{0}^{-1} - \mathcal{P}_{0}^{-1} \mathbf{F} (\mathbf{I}_{4} + \mathbf{G} \mathcal{P}_{0}^{-1} \mathbf{F})^{-1} \mathbf{G} \mathcal{P}_{0}^{-1}$$

where **F** is an (2n + m)-by-4 matrix and **G** is an 4-by-(2n + m) matrix.

• Let's remember the first formula:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}}^{-1} = \mathcal{P}_0^{-1} - \mathcal{P}_0^{-1} \mathbf{F} (\mathbf{I}_2 + \mathbf{G} \mathcal{P}_0^{-1} \mathbf{F})^{-1} \mathbf{G} \mathcal{P}_0^{-1}$$

• The least Frobenius norm update is slightly more expensive than the first update however it is more stable.

 \rightarrow It can be shown that $\|\mathcal{P}_{T}^{-1}\|_{F}$ can be arbitrarily larger than $\|\mathcal{P}_{F}^{-1}\|_{F}$

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)